

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
(Northern Division)**

**DAVID J. BOSHEA**

\*

\*

**Plaintiff,**

\*

\*

**Case No. 1:21-CV-00309-ELH**

**v.**

\*

\*

**COMPASS MARKETING, INC.**

\*

\*

**Defendant.**

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

**DEFENDANT COMPASS MARKETING, INC.’S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF’S  
AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT**

Defendant Compass Marketing, Inc. (“Compass Marketing”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this Answer to the Amended Complaint for Breach of Contract (“Amended Complaint” or “AC”) filed by Plaintiff David J. Boshea (“Boshea” or “Plaintiff”) by responding to the numbered allegations in the Amended Complaint, with the paragraph numbers below corresponding to those used in the Amended Complaint.

**General Allegations**

1. The allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint purport to characterize the nature of this lawsuit, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing denies that this lawsuit is for unpaid wages. The allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint also purport to characterize the nature of this Court’s jurisdiction over this dispute and Compass Marketing does not contest jurisdiction before this Court based on the current allegations in the Amended Complaint. The allegations in part of the first sentence of Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint and the second sentence of Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint purport to identify and

characterize a document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A. The title and terms of the document speak for themselves and, to the extent that content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is inconsistent with the allegations in the Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint, or that the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is not authentic or otherwise was not entered into, the allegations are denied.

2. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint.

#### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

3. Upon information and belief, Compass Marketing admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.

4. Compass Marketing admits the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint.

5. The allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing does not contest jurisdiction before this Court based on the current allegations in the Amended Complaint.

6. The allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing does not contest venue before this Court based on the current allegations in the Amended Complaint. The allegations in the third sentence purport to characterize certain terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A. The terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A speak for themselves and, to the extent that the content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is inconsistent with the

allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint, or the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is not authentic or otherwise was not entered into, the allegations are denied.

7. The allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint purport to characterize certain terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A. The terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A speak for themselves and, to the extent that the content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is inconsistent with the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint, or the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is not authentic or otherwise was not entered into, the allegations are denied.

8. The allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing does not contest personal jurisdiction before this Court based on the current allegations in the Amended Complaint.

9. The allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint purport to characterize certain terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A. The terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A speak for themselves and, to the extent that the content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is inconsistent with the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint, or the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is not authentic or otherwise was not entered into, the allegations are denied. Compass Marketing denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10. The allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint purport to identify and characterize a document that is attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit B. The terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit B speak for themselves and, to the extent that the content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit B is inconsistent with the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint, or the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit B is not authentic or otherwise was not sent or signed by Compass Marketing, the allegations are denied. Compass Marketing denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

11. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint.

12. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint.

13. Without a timeframe referenced in Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint, Compass Marketing is unable to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 13 as written. Compass Marketing admits the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint only to the extent the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint refer to the March 2020 timeframe.

14. The allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint purport to characterize certain terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A. The terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A speak for themselves and, to the extent that the content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is inconsistent with the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint, or the document attached to the

Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is not authentic or otherwise was not entered into, the allegations are denied.

15. The allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint purport to identify and characterize the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit C. The terms of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit C speak for themselves and, to the extent that the content of the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit C is inconsistent with the allegations of Paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint, or the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit C is not authentic or otherwise was not sent or signed by Compass Marketing, the allegations are denied. Compass Marketing denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint.

16. Compass Marketing admits the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint.

**COUNT 1**  
**BREACH OF CONTRACT**

17. Compass Marketing repeats and realleges the responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 16 of the Amended Complaint as if fully set herein.

18. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint.

19. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint.

20. Compass Marketing admits that it has not paid Boshea severance. Compass Marketing denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint.

21. Compass Marketing admits that it has not paid Boshea severance. Compass Marketing denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint.

22. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint.

23. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint.

24. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Amended Complaint.

The final, unnumbered paragraph in Count I of the Amended Complaint, which starts with “WHEREFORE,” constitutes Plaintiff’s request for relief with respect to Count I, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief in this action.

**COUNT II**  
**VIOLATION OF MARYLAND WAGE PAYMENT AND COLLECTION ACT**

25. Compass Marketing repeats and realleges the responses to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 22 of the Amended Complaint as if fully set herein.<sup>1</sup>

26. The allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing does not contest that it is an employer to certain employees under the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Law, but denies that it is an employer under the statute in connection with the facts of this case.

27. The allegations in Paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint contain legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass

---

<sup>1</sup> Compass Marketing is not admitting or otherwise neglecting to address the allegations in Paragraphs 23 or 24 of the Amended Complaint with respect to Count II of the Amended Complaint, as the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint only incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 22 of the Amended Complaint.

Marketing denies that Boshea was an employee under the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Law.

28. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint.

29. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint.

30. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint.

31. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint.

32. Compass Marketing denies the allegations in Paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint.

The final, unnumbered paragraph in Count II of the Amended Complaint, which starts with “WHEREFORE,” constitutes Plaintiff’s request for relief with respect to Count II, to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, Compass Marketing denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief in this action.

**DENIAL OF MATTERS NOT SPECIFICALLY ADMITTED**

Compass Marketing denies each and every allegation in the Amended Complaint not specifically admitted herein.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Compass Marketing asserts the following affirmative defenses to Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint, but does not assume a burden of proof on any such defenses except as required by applicable law with respect to the particular defense asserted. Compass Marketing reserves the

right to assert other affirmative and additional defenses, to delete and withdraw defenses, and to amend this Answer upon discovery of facts or evidence rendering such action appropriate. Moreover, all affirmative defenses are pled in the alternative and do not constitute an admission of liability that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

**First Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that they fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

**Second Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by unclean hands.

**Third Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by fraud.

**Fourth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by estoppel.

**Fifth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in that the document attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A is not authentic, as it was not entered into by Plaintiff and Compass Marketing.

**Sixth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent that Plaintiff is not an employee that is covered by the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Law.

**Seventh Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent the amount sought does not constitute "wages" as that term is defined by the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Law.

**Eighth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part to the extent there is a bona fide dispute as to why the sums claimed by Plaintiff were not paid by Compass Marketing.

**Ninth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that Plaintiff breached one or more agreement(s) with Compass Marketing.

**Tenth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that Compass Marketing is entitled to a refund or set off.

\* \* \* \* \*

WHEREFORE, Compass Marketing respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor, dismiss Plaintiff's claims with prejudice, award Compass Marketing all fees and costs it incurs in connection with this lawsuit as a prevailing party, and such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

**REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL**

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff and Third-Party Plaintiff Compass Marketing, Inc., hereby request a jury trial of its claims pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: August 26, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen B. Stern

---

Stephen B. Stern, Bar No.: 25335  
Heather K. Yeung, Bar No.: 20050  
KAGAN STERN MARINELLO & BEARD, LLC  
238 West Street  
Annapolis, Maryland 21401  
(Phone): (410) 216-7900  
(Fax): (410) 705-0836  
Email: [stern@kaganstern.com](mailto:stern@kaganstern.com)  
Email: [yeung@kaganstern.com](mailto:yeung@kaganstern.com)

*Counsel for Defendant  
Compass Marketing, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 26th, day of August, 2021, the foregoing Defendant Compass Marketing, Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint for Breach of Contract was served via the CM/ECF system on the following counsel of record:

Thomas J. Gagliardo  
Gilbert Employment Law, PC  
1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 900  
Silver Spring, MD 20910  
Email: [tgagliardo@gelawyer.com](mailto:tgagliardo@gelawyer.com)

and

Gregory J. Jordan  
Mark Zito  
Jordan & Zito, LLC  
350 N. LaSalle Drive, Suite 1100  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Email: [gjordan@jz-llc.com](mailto:gjordan@jz-llc.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
*David Boshea*

/s/ Stephen B. Stern

Stephen B. Stern